

**Appendix A -- FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:**

Randolph County requires an integrated software system that allows the County to permit, monitor and track all stages of the development process in accordance with the laws of North Carolina, the laws of the United States and the procedures and ordinances of Randolph County. All processes must comply with the previously mentioned laws. The following questions relate to the specific functions that are critical to the daily business needs of Central Permitting functions in the County.

Requirement rating:

- **MR: Mandatory Request:** Questions marked as *MR* are critical to the overall vision of the County's Central Permitting system and will be used to determine if vendors meet the minimum requirements;
- **HD: Highly Desirable Feature:** Questions marked as *HD* indicate features which Randolph County desires to allow flexibility in the proposed system and will be used to determine the system's ability to adapt and grow beyond our current needs; and
- **I: Information:** Randolph County requests information on the requirement or feature. These questions are for information that will allow more understanding of the Vendor's proposal.

The vendor shall specify one of the following options in response to each question:

- **Yes:** The current production release of the software provides this feature without modification;
- **No:** This feature is not provided or planned; or
- **Planned:** This feature is planned for inclusion in a scheduled future release. Provide the estimated release date.

Proposals will be analyzed based upon the responses included in the Vendor's overall proposal and based upon the answers to the questions in this section. Most questions are written where a *Yes* answer is our desired response. However, we do not desire just a *Yes/No/Planned* response from the vendor, but rather an explanation of how their proposed system will work in reference to the posed questions.

Unanswered questions by the vendor will be rated as negative responses or *No* answers. If the feature is *Planned*, the vendor must include a scheduled release date. If a date has not been set, please indicate the feature is under

consideration, under development or in testing. Failure to include this information will be interpreted as a negative response or *No* answer by the vendor.

Department Codes will be used to indicate which functional section of responsibility is requesting a response from the vendor. Please use the codes below as a guide.

- CP - General questions about the entire Central Permitting System operations and is utilized in all functional operations
- AD - Addressing operations
- BI - Building Inspections operations
- CE - Code Enforcement and Complaint tracking
- EH - Environmental Health operations
- FM - Fire Marshal operations
- PZ - Planning & Zoning operations

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
1.	CP	Does the proposed system have an Environmental Health module that can handle subsurface sewage disposal systems, wells and water samples?	MR		
2.	CP	Does the proposed system have a Building Inspections module that can handle all facets of a building inspections program in North Carolina?	MR		
3.	CP	Does the proposed system have a Fire Marshal module that can manage permits and inspections as required by North Carolina General Statutes?	MR		
4.	CP	Does the proposed system have a Planning/Zoning module that can handle development tracking (ex. rezoning, special use, and variance)?	MR		
5.	CP	Does the proposed system operate in a “centralized” fashion so that all permits can be issued in one central location with paperwork routed to the correct printers and departments?	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
6.	CP	Does the proposed system issue permits based upon one application/permit number for the entire project, regardless of the permits attached to the project?	HD		
7.	CP	Does the proposed system communicate effectively and efficiently and retrieve data from ESRI® SQL® server?	MR		
8.	CP	Does the proposed system offer the flexibility to allow individual departments to maintain data code or fee tables?	HD		
9.	CP	Does the proposed system offer the flexibility to allow individual departments to update code or fee tables for implementation at a future effective date?	HD		
10.	CP	Does the proposed system offer an integrated cashing system that allows for fee collection?	MR		
11.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for prepayments on deposit for contractor/realtors?	MR		
12.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for payments to be made at different locations?	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
13.	CP	Does the proposed cashiering system track each fee by collector for distribution among appropriate agencies/departments and for reporting purposes?	MR		
14.	CP	Does the proposed cashiering system include a daily interface into the County's Financial Management system (New World Systems - Logos?)	MR		
15.	CP	Does the proposed cashiering system have audit tracking of all transactions including user identification, time, date, change detail, etc.?	MR		
16.	CP	Does the proposed cashiering system have different levels of authority for altering prepaid accounts or modifying transactions?	MR		
17.	CP	Does the proposed cashiering system track collections by user? By batch?	MR		
18.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for funds to be posted for a contractor/realtor and "drawn down" as applications/permits are issued? Explain the process.	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
19.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for Property Development Applications that describe the type of development underway?	MR		
20.	CP	Does the proposed system allow application/permit fees to be entered in a "temporary" or "pending" manner to allow for the issuance of the application/permit and the collection of the fees to take place on a later day?	I		
21.	CP	If the answer to 20 above is yes, how does the system reverse or apply the pending transaction?	I		
22.	CP	Does the proposed system allow printing of duplicate applications/permits or receipts with printed indication that the document is a duplicate?	MR		
23.	CP	Does the proposed system include miscellaneous collections or cashiering for non-application/permit items? For example, reports, maps, etc?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
24.	CP	Does the system allow the end-user to customize screens to his personal preference (ex: resizing/reordering of columns, background colors, etc)?	I		
25.	CP	Does the proposed system allow printouts to be redirected to electronic media for distribution via e-mail, RightFax, etc? Define the formats.	I		
26.	CP	Does the proposed system allow users to maintain contractor/licensee information in a central location for all agencies to utilize?	MR		
27.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for the maintenance of workers compensation information as required by NCGS 87-14?	HD		
28.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for re-calculation of application/permit fees based upon updated information? Explain the process.	HD		
29.	CP	Does the proposed system have a method of archiving or creating a history file for "finalized" applications/permits? Explain.	HD		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
30.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to expire applications/permits in bulk based upon established expiration date guidelines? For example, one year after issuance with no inspections. Explain whether the process is automated or batched.	HD		
31.	CP	Can expired permits be reactivated? Explain the process.	I		
32.	CP	Does the proposed system have a way to interface or maintain current parcel information in the Tax application and alert the user if the parcel on the application/permit has been voided?	MR		
33.	CP	How does the proposed system handle re-inspection fees? Are they automatically charged via a re-inspection flag? Does the user have the ability to set or override the re-inspection fee on a case-by-case basis?	I		
34.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to track the applications/permits issued in mobile home parks and to produce reports for various agencies?	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
35.	CP	Does the proposed system allow the County to determine items that would prevent certain permits or the Certificate of Occupancy from being issued? For example, outstanding fees, etc. Explain.	MR		
36.	CP	Does the proposed system allow applications to be issued from a central location for permits that may be issued by other departments either contemporaneously or at a later date?	MR		
37.	CP	Are all field names and screen field titles user-friendly and easily identifiable in plain language for users and for use in reporting?	HD		
38.	CP	Does the proposed system allow the County to create work flow procedures to duplicate or replace our existing business model? Please explain who creates the workflow and how it can be modified.	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
39.	CP	Does the proposed system have a system of “locks” to prevent the Certificate of Compliance being issued prior to all required departmental approvals? For example, Zoning approval, Building approval, Environmental Health approval, etc, must be obtained prior to issuance of certificate. Please explain.	MR		
40.	CP	If the answer to question above is “yes”, can these “locks” also be applied to applications/permits? For example, if the septic permit has not been issued will the software “lock” the building permit and prevent it from being issued?	I		
41.	CP	Please explain locks and how they are modified, implemented, and by whom. Do these modifications require the vendor and are costs associated with these modifications?	I		
42.	CP	Does the system store data in a consistent manner, i.e. always storing a number as an integer rather than storing it as an integer in one table but as text in another?	I		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
43.	CP	Do the proposed modules use a “common intake database” structure to collect and store information that is common to all departments? Explain.	MR		
44.	CP	Does the proposed system have a way to verify the number of bedrooms on the General Construction permit with the number of approved bedrooms on the Improvements Permit?	HD		
45.	CP	Does the proposed system have a method to allow the homeowner to act as his own contractor for all permit types?	MR		
46.	CP	Does your system currently manage contractor information via an integrated database?	I		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
47.	CP	If the answer to number 46 is yes, does the proposed system have the ability to add contractors to the database from within the permit or must the information be entered only in the database management module?	I		
48.	CP	Does the proposed system work on a Microsoft SQL server database?	MR		
49.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to interface with Microsoft Office in order to produce written notifications regarding finding of inspections (ex. water sample, authorization to connect, etc.)	HD		
50.	CP	Does the proposed system allow the capture of multiple telephone numbers and e-mail addresses for all applicants, owners, and contractors?	HD		
51.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for the capture and storage of scanned images?	HD		
52.	CP	Does the proposed system capture all data fields required for the Property Development Application as described in Chapter 2, Section 1.2? List any exceptions.	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
53.	CP	Does the proposed system interface directly with ESRI to pull the GIS information into the Property Development Application at the time of permitting as indicated in Chapter 2, Section 1.2?	MR		
54.	CP	Does the proposed system provide the enhancements as described in Chapter 2, Section 7.1 for all of Central Permitting applications/permits? (Attach electronic files to permits, allow sticky notes, provide summary report that allows users to view status of all permits associated with this development, provide visitation and comment entries for zoning officials, import GIS information, import special conditions, and allow multiple zoning districts per parcel.)	HD		
55.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to create one central application that captures all common data for every department and pulls the data into the application/permit as needed?	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
56.	CP	Does the proposed system provide the ability to browse and access any permit by permit number, PIN number, property address, permit description, any of the names associated with the permit (owners, applicants, developers, etc.), type of permit, and user-defined lookup fields?	MR		
57.	CP	Does the proposed system provide integrate with Arc GIS? Please describe the integration and its capabilities.	HD		
58.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for attachment of documents to a permit that has been finalized?	HD		
59.	CP	Does the proposed system allow authorized users to make changes to permits that have been finalized with appropriate audit trail?	MR		
60.	CP	Does the proposed system provide integration with word processing for entry of comments and conditions and produce permit summary documentation?	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
61.	CP	Does the proposed system capture/pull comments from one type of permit to another type of permit on the same property when requested by user? ?	HD		
62.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to store geographical coordinates (longitude and latitude or state plane coordinates)?	HD		
63.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to import data from geographic queries and overlays from GIS? Please explain the process.	MR		
64.	CP	Does the proposed system have the ability to use electronic signatures for the approval process?	MR		
65.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for GIS routing of visitation requests?	HD		
66.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for appointments for visitations?	HD		
67.	CP	Does the proposed system allow for "Holds" to be placed on applications, permits, and/or parcels to stop development?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
68.	PZ	Does the proposed system collect the additional data fields identified in Chapter 2, Section 2.1 for Planning and Zoning specific processes? List any exceptions.	MR		
69.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a Rezoning Request Application that captures the specific data indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.2?	MR		
70.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a Special Use Request Application that captures the specific data indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.3?	MR		
71.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a Variance Request Application that captures the specific data indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.4?	MR		
72.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a Zoning Permit that captures the specific data indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.5?	MR		
73.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a Watershed Permit that captures the specific data indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.6?	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
74.	PZ	Can the proposed system handle the Components of Watershed Permit as indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.7 including the specified data fields?	MR		
75.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a module that can maintain impervious surface calculations on a Countywide and watershed basis as required by NCGS 143-214.5?	MR		
76.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a method of tracking Subdivision Maintenance as indicated in Chapter 2, Section 2.8 including the specified data fields?	MR		
77.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a GUI-based (Wizard type) process that will allow for the development of new applications or permits should the need arise without additional programming charges by the vendor? (This process should define the permit and indicate fields required, allow for the setup of fees due, etc.)	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
78.	PZ	Are fees table-based with effective dates, so fee changes are easily modified by authorized personnel?	I		
79.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the capability to track subdivision plats through the development cycle?	HD		
80.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the capability to track zoning violations and related documentation?	HD		
81.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to duplicate applications/permits easily? (For example, septic applications for subdivision)	MR		
82.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a way to show the current status of applications/permits under one specific development project? Explain the process.	HD		
83.	PZ	Does the proposed system have a way to track road names for existing and proposed roads to avoid duplicate road names?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
84.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to track temporary use permits? (For example, a temporary permit is good for 60 days and on day 60 the system alerts the user to the pending expiration.)	HD		
85.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to display multiple zoning districts per parcel?	HD		
86.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to “import” information from conditional district permits and place that information on zoning permits?	HD		
87.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to add specific conditions to an application/permit via a drop-down box?	HD		
88.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to query the County’s existing GIS SQL® server and import overlay zoning districts?	HD		
89.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to attach various document types to an application/permit?	HD		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
90.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to create a note via MS Notepad or other software and attach it to the file for internal uses?	HD		
91.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability for users to waive fees on application/permits with a multi-level approval process for auditing purposes?	HD		
92.	PZ	Does the proposed system allow for the use of mobile devices in the field? Describe functionality.	HD		
93.	PZ	Does the proposed system allow data to be shared with other departments not directly associated with Central Permitting, such as Tax (appraisers gaining access to applications/permits)? Describe how this is accomplished.	HD		
94.	PZ	Does the proposed system allow for the maintenance of Spill Prevention Plans as required by NCGS 143-214.5 and 40 CFR Part 112?	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
95.	PZ	Does the proposed system have the ability to “import” specific buffers or conditions from rezoning, special use or variance applications into subsequent permits/applications?	HD		
96.	PZ	Is the PZ Software currently in operation?? If so, please indicate where and for how long.	I		
97.	PZ	Does the proposed system provide all enhancements as described in Chapter 2, Section 7.2 and 7.3? (ability to waive fees, track Temporary uses, underpinning requirement, structure size requirements, corner lots or double frontage lot requirements, new sign permit, “off-system” approval process).	HD		
98.	AD	Does the proposed system collect information fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 3.1? List any exceptions.	MR		
99.	AD	Does the proposed system provide a method of managing road names as described in Chapter 2, Section 3.2?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
100.	AD	Does the proposed system collect the information fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 3.2? List any exceptions.	MR		
101.	AD	Does the addressing permit integrate with Building Inspections, Fire Marshall's Office and code enforcement such that the address can be verified during their inspections? Please explain.	MR		
102.	AD	Does the proposed system integrate with ESRI/GIS to pull addressing information into the permits? Please explain.	MR		
103.	AD	Does the proposed system provide all enhancements as described in Chapter 2, Section 7.3?(pull data from GIS, provide multiple visitation/comments, allow Building Inspection to note address was properly displayed)	HD		
104.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module identify violations by parcel? Can the system provide notifications to the responsible party(s), and establish a user-defined follow-up inspection program to track status and ensure corrections are made?	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
105.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module allow user-defined violation types and related free-form text? Does it allow multiple violations to be associated with a single case?	HD		
106.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module provide user-defined notifications to responsible parties (also multiple parties possible per case)? Does it use word processing as method for user definition of notification? Explain.	HD		
107.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module provide for the interface of electronic inspection devices, allowing inspectors to enter findings while at the site?	HD		
108.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module provide automatic follow-up notification of pending actions based on a date due?	HD		
109.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module provide method of preparing agendas and backup documentation for cases reaching magistrate or court?	HD		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
110.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module provide a method for multiple departments to use the system with security options for each department?	HD		
111.	CE	Does the proposed system allow ArcView GIS to pinpoint location of permits by number, address, owner name, and parcel number?	HD		
112.	CE	Does the proposed system provide a method of processing and tracking violations/inspections?	HD		
113.	CE	Does the Code Enforcement module provide the ability to flag parcels with code violations to alert Technicians at time of data entry of application for any permit or application?	HD		
114.	CE	Does the proposed system allow for a parcel management database (and ArcView GIS) to provide address and parcel data to the Code Enforcement system?	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
115.	CE	Does the proposed system allow users to define case types with user-defined sequence of actions and case data for each type?	HD		
116.	CE	Does the proposed system allow spin-off violations to be created that relate to another department or agency?	HD		
117.	CE	Does the proposed system allow all authorized users to view data with security limitations placed on updates?	HD		
118.	CE	Does the proposed system capture all data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 8? List any exceptions	MR		
119.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to display the status of each department's plan approval so that one can quickly identify what requirements have not been met?	MR		
120.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to issue multiple permits of the same type under the same property development application?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
121.	BI	Does the proposed system have data fields to document all of the minimum information required on the "Permit Application Information Sheet" Exhibit BI-1?	MR		
122.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to establish accounts for contractors and to credit funds to the accounts?	MR		
123.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to print out a permit visitation which displays any of the data that has been collected and any pertinent comments as well as a place for an inspector to document his work?	MR		
124.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to schedule and collect fees for Consultation Visits in instances where a site visit is needed, but no work requiring a permit has been done?	MR		
125.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to create construction and inspection activity reports?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
126.	BI	Does the proposed system have the ability to document complaints and condemnations and save them in a form that can be tracked?	MR		
127.	BI	Does the proposed system capture data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 5.2 through Section 5.2B for Plan Reviews? List any exceptions.	MR		
128.	BI	Does the proposed system capture data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 5.3 through Section 5.3B(13) for permits? List any exceptions.	MR		
129.	BI	Does the proposed system capture data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 5.4 through Section 5.4I for inspections? List any exceptions.	MR		
130.	EH	Does the proposed system capture data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 4.2 for Well and Waste Water Disposal? List any exceptions.	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
131.	EH	Does the proposed system capture data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 4.3 for Permits/Responses for Well and/or Wastewater disposal?	MR		
132.	EH	Does the proposed system interface with Global Positioning Systems to download data captured in the field as described in Chapter 2, Section 4.4	MR		
133.	EH	Does the proposed system have a means of querying or reporting as described in Chapter 2, Section 4.4? Please explain.	MR		
134.	EH	Does the proposed system capture basic data from tax/land record information to create Environmental Health septic site evaluations and track the status of application through the permitting phases?	MR		
135.	EH	Does the proposed system automatically enter "today's date" as the date of creation on a permit at the time of application; also identifying the creator and time of data entry activity?	MR		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
136.	EH	Does the proposed system provide on-demand listings of EH permits in various sort orders (by address, date, type of permit, permit number, assigned inspector, etc.) and with various selections criteria (i.e., permit status, application data ranges, EHS assigned or involved in evaluation)?	MR		
137.	EH	Does the proposed system track and route permits through various user-defined processes automatically (agency and other activity review functions)? Please explain this process.	HD		
138.	EH	Does the proposed system have a method of recording actions by outside agencies with a display indicating the time/date of posting?	HD		
139.	EH	Does the proposed system automatically calculate user-defined "key dates" for a permit as part of the approval process? Please explain this process.	HD		

FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:					
No.	Department	Question	Requirement	Supported Yes No Planned	Vendor Response
140.	EH	Does the proposed system provide a function for producing summary documents from the comments and conditions entered during the permit review without the user having to retype these comments and/or conditions?	HD		
141.	EH	Does the proposed system provide a user-defined table of standard or recurring conditions that can be accessed and applied to a permit during permit processing, thereby eliminating the need to key standard' conditions?	MR		
142.	EH	Does the proposed system provide a listing of all permits associated with a parcel and its ancestor when browsing by PIN#? An unlimited number of void parcels may be associated with an individual permit and Randolph County desires a method of replacing the locator number used for tracking this history.	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
143.	EH	Does the proposed system provide user flags for permits or individual conditions that the technicians can use to alert other agency personnel of a permit or special condition at the time of building permits or zoning applications, etc.?	HD		
144.	EH	Does the proposed system block a Certificate of Occupancy and permanent power at Building Inspections because of an absence of a septic system Operation Permit?	HD		
145.	EH	Does the proposed system block and alert the issuance of a Building Permit because of an absence of Construction Authorization for a septic system?	HD		
146.	EH	Will the proposed system automatically notify personnel of scheduled reinspections and operator reports according to the system type and user defined frequencies?	HD		
147.	EH	Does the proposed system alert personnel of repair and complaint deadlines?	HD		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
148.	EH	Does the proposed system generate employee activity reports? Please explain the process.	HD		
149.	EH	Does the proposed system handle notifications automatically after application/permit is issued or denied?	HD		
150.	EH	Does the proposed system provide the capability to maintain data on mobile home parks with information on individual lots and septic systems?	MR		
151.	CP	If the issuance of one type of permit is a prerequisite to the issuance of another type of permit, will the proposed system prevent the second permit from being issued before the prerequisite is met? For example, will the system prevent the issuance of a construction authorization for a septic system prior to a zoning permit being issued?	MR		
152.	FM	Does the proposed system capture data fields as described in Chapter 2, Section 6.1 through Section 6.2P for Fire Marshal's Office Permitting? List any exceptions.	MR		

<b>FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT QUESTIONS:</b>					
<b>No.</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Requirement</b>	<b>Supported Yes No Planned</b>	<b>Vendor Response</b>
153.	FM	Does the proposed system have the ability to interface with FireHouse software as described in Chapter 2, Section 6.3? Please explain.	MR		
154.	FM	Does the proposed system have the ability to replace FireHouse software?	I		